

## Kennen Sie den Befehl 'tape\_perf\_test' ?

Diesen Befehl gibt es bereits seit mehr als 20 Jahren - allerdings ist er seit der Einführung des Backup-to-Disk (B2D) in der Praxis eigentlich nicht mehr so wichtig.

Der Befehl führt einen ausführlichen Test mit dem ausgewählten Bandlaufwerk durch, und zwar mit veränderten Blockgrößen und Datenmustern. **Dies dauert fast eine Stunde lang.** Anschließend stellt er die Ergebnisse in einer Art Tabelle gegenüber. Sie waren vor allem als Entscheidungshilfe zur Auswahl/Einstellung der Blockgrößen gedacht - auf das Datenmuster haben Sie ja ohnehin keinerlei Einfluß.

Da Tapes heute fast nur noch als Clone-Medien verwendet werden, spielt die Geschwindigkeit heutzutage eine eher untergeordnete Rolle. Trotzdem möchte ich Ihnen den Befehl einmal vorstellen.



Achtung - die Tests sind zeitintensiv. Bei meinem älteren LTO3 Bandlaufwerk dauerten Sie ca. 45 min.

Außerdem gibt es einmal mehr ein NetWorker-typisches, 'kosmetisches' Problem - hier sind es überflüssige Fehlermeldungen. Aus diesem Grund sollten Sie die Ausgabe immer in eine Textdatei umleiten, in der Sie später - mit einem leistungsstarken Editor - die überflüssigen Zeilen herausfiltern können.

So wenden Sie den Befehl an:

```
C:\>tape_perf_test -?
usage: tape_perf_test -f <device name> { -t total test size} {-x max blocksize}
{-n minblocksize}
      defaults:  total test size = 500
                  max blocksize  = 1024 kB
                  min blocksize  = 16 kB

C:\>
C:\>tape_perf_test -f \\.\Tape0
Block size performance test for device: QUANTUM ULTRIUM 3 2182 (\\.\Tape0)
      from 1024 kB to 16 kB with a data size of 500 MB
  >>> results being logged to file QUANTUM-ULTRIUM_3-2182-19-snode19-2-windows-
02-16-2022-1218.log <<<
      run on windows system 19-snode19-2 on 02-16-2022 @ 12:18
      drive identifiers:
          serial: QP0715AME00020
          atnn:  ATNN:QUANTUM ULTRIUM 3           QP0715AME00020
          wwnn:  WWNN:500E09E0001A28FB
          wwpn:  WWPN:500E09E4001A28FB
          port:  PORT:00000001
max scsi transfer for system is 1024 kBytes
cdi_open failed: cdi_info.status = CDI_DEVICE_FILE_NAME_UNUSABLE (8)
testing 1024kB blocks:
      *** test using random data:
cdi_open failed: cdi_info.status = CDI_DEVICE_FILE_NAME_UNUSABLE (8)

C:\>
C:\>tape_perf_test -f \\.\Tape0 > D:\tape_perf_test.txt

C:\>
```

Und das ist das Ergebnis, nachdem Sie die Fehlermeldungen ...

```
win32 read error: ask for ####, read 0 kbytes
```

... herausgefiltert haben:

```
Block size performance test for device: QUANTUM ULTRIUM 3 2182 (\\.\Tape0)
from 1024 kB to 16 kB with a data size of 500 MB
```

```
>>> results being logged to file //
```

```
QUANTUM-ULTRIUM_3-2182-19-nwserver-windows-02-10-2022-0101.log <<<
```

```
run on windows system 19-nwserver on 02-10-2022 @ 01:01
```

```
drive identifiers:
```

```
serial: QP0715AME00020
```

```
atnn: ATNN:QUANTUM ULTRIUM 3          QP0715AME00020
```

```
wwnn: WWNN:500E09E0001A28FB
```

```
wwpn: WWPN:500E09E4001A28FB
```

```
port: PORT:00000001
```

```
max scsi transfer for system is 1024 kBytes
```

```
testing 1024kB blocks:
```

```
*** test using random data:
```

```
write returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
```

```
read returns 7 sec --> 73142 kB/s
```

```
*** test using bigasm-like data:
```

```
write returns 7 sec --> 73142 kB/s
```

```
read returns 8 sec --> 64000 kB/s
```

```
*** test using copies of tape_perf_test.exe in buffer:
```

```
write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
```

```
read returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
```

```
*** test using 2:1 compressible data:
```

```
write returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
```

```
read returns 7 sec --> 73142 kB/s
```

```
*** test using 3:1 compressible data:
```

```
write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
```

```
read returns 10 sec --> 51200 kB/s
```

```
*** test using 4:1 compressible data:
```

```
write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
```

```
read returns 11 sec --> 46545 kB/s
```

```
*** test using buffer full of zeros:
```

```
write returns 4 sec --> 128000 kB/s
```

```
read returns 8 sec --> 64000 kB/s
```

```
testing 768kB blocks:
```

```
*** test using random data:
```

```
write returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
```

```
read returns 8 sec --> 64000 kB/s
```

```
*** test using bigasm-like data:
```

```
write returns 7 sec --> 73142 kB/s
```

```
read returns 8 sec --> 64000 kB/s
```

```
*** test using copies of tape_perf_test.exe in buffer:
```

```
write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
```

```
read returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
```

```
*** test using 2:1 compressible data:
```

```
write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
```

```
read returns 7 sec --> 73142 kB/s
```

```
*** test using 3:1 compressible data:
```

```
write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
```

```
read returns 10 sec --> 51200 kB/s
```

```
.....
```

```

.....
*** test using 4:1 compressible data:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 11 sec --> 46545 kB/s
*** test using buffer full of zeros:
    write returns 4 sec --> 128000 kB/s
    read returns 8 sec --> 64000 kB/s
testing 512kB blocks:
*** test using random data:
    write returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
    read returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
*** test using bigasm-like data:
    write returns 7 sec --> 73142 kB/s
    read returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
*** test using copies of tape_perf_test.exe in buffer:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 11 sec --> 46545 kB/s
*** test using 2:1 compressible data:
    write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
    read returns 8 sec --> 64000 kB/s
*** test using 3:1 compressible data:
    write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
    read returns 11 sec --> 46545 kB/s
*** test using 4:1 compressible data:
    write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
    read returns 11 sec --> 46545 kB/s
*** test using buffer full of zeros:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
testing 384kB blocks:
*** test using random data:
    write returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
    read returns 10 sec --> 51200 kB/s
*** test using bigasm-like data:
    write returns 7 sec --> 73142 kB/s
    read returns 10 sec --> 51200 kB/s
*** test using copies of tape_perf_test.exe in buffer:
    write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
    read returns 12 sec --> 42666 kB/s
*** test using 2:1 compressible data:
    write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
    read returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
*** test using 3:1 compressible data:
    write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
    read returns 13 sec --> 39384 kB/s
*** test using 4:1 compressible data:
    write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
    read returns 13 sec --> 39384 kB/s
*** test using buffer full of zeros:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 10 sec --> 51200 kB/s
.....

```

```
.....
testing 256kB blocks:
*** test using random data:
    write returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
    read returns 12 sec --> 42666 kB/s
*** test using bigasm-like data:
    write returns 7 sec --> 73142 kB/s
    read returns 12 sec --> 42666 kB/s
*** test using copies of tape_perf_test.exe in buffer:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 11 sec --> 46545 kB/s
*** test using 2:1 compressible data:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 13 sec --> 39384 kB/s
*** test using 3:1 compressible data:
    write returns 4 sec --> 128000 kB/s
    read returns 12 sec --> 42666 kB/s
*** test using 4:1 compressible data:
    write returns 4 sec --> 128000 kB/s
    read returns 12 sec --> 42666 kB/s
*** test using buffer full of zeros:
    write returns 3 sec --> 170666 kB/s
    read returns 12 sec --> 42666 kB/s
testing 192kB blocks:
*** test using random data:
    write returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
    read returns 15 sec --> 34133 kB/s
*** test using bigasm-like data:
    write returns 7 sec --> 73142 kB/s
    read returns 14 sec --> 36571 kB/s
*** test using copies of tape_perf_test.exe in buffer:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 13 sec --> 39384 kB/s
*** test using 2:1 compressible data:
    write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
    read returns 14 sec --> 36571 kB/s
*** test using 3:1 compressible data:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 14 sec --> 36571 kB/s
*** test using 4:1 compressible data:
    write returns 4 sec --> 128000 kB/s
    read returns 14 sec --> 36571 kB/s
*** test using buffer full of zeros:
    write returns 4 sec --> 128000 kB/s
    read returns 14 sec --> 36571 kB/s
.....
```

```
.....
testing 128kB blocks:
  *** test using random data:
    write returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
    read returns 19 sec --> 26947 kB/s
  *** test using bigasm-like data:
    write returns 7 sec --> 73142 kB/s
testing 256kB blocks:
  *** test using random data:
    write returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
    read returns 12 sec --> 42666 kB/s
  *** test using bigasm-like data:
    write returns 7 sec --> 73142 kB/s
    read returns 12 sec --> 42666 kB/s
  *** test using copies of tape_perf_test.exe in buffer:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 11 sec --> 46545 kB/s
  *** test using 2:1 compressible data:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 13 sec --> 39384 kB/s
  *** test using 3:1 compressible data:
    write returns 4 sec --> 128000 kB/s
    read returns 12 sec --> 42666 kB/s
  *** test using 4:1 compressible data:
    write returns 4 sec --> 128000 kB/s
    read returns 12 sec --> 42666 kB/s
  *** test using buffer full of zeros:
    write returns 3 sec --> 170666 kB/s
    read returns 12 sec --> 42666 kB/s
testing 192kB blocks:
  *** test using random data:
    write returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
    read returns 15 sec --> 34133 kB/s
  *** test using bigasm-like data:
    write returns 7 sec --> 73142 kB/s
    read returns 14 sec --> 36571 kB/s
  *** test using copies of tape_perf_test.exe in buffer:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 13 sec --> 39384 kB/s
  *** test using 2:1 compressible data:
    write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
    read returns 14 sec --> 36571 kB/s
  *** test using 3:1 compressible data:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 14 sec --> 36571 kB/s
  *** test using 4:1 compressible data:
    write returns 4 sec --> 128000 kB/s
    read returns 14 sec --> 36571 kB/s
  *** test using buffer full of zeros:
    write returns 4 sec --> 128000 kB/s
    read returns 14 sec --> 36571 kB/s
    read returns 19 sec --> 26947 kB/s
  *** test using copies of tape_perf_test.exe in buffer:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 18 sec --> 28444 kB/s
.....
```

```

.....
*** test using 2:1 compressible data:
    write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
    read returns 19 sec --> 26947 kB/s
*** test using 3:1 compressible data:
    write returns 7 sec --> 73142 kB/s
    read returns 19 sec --> 26947 kB/s
*** test using 4:1 compressible data:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 22 sec --> 23272 kB/s
*** test using buffer full of zeros:
    write returns 4 sec --> 128000 kB/s
    read returns 19 sec --> 26947 kB/s
testing 96kB blocks:
*** test using random data:
    write returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
    read returns 23 sec --> 22260 kB/s
*** test using bigasm-like data:
    write returns 7 sec --> 73142 kB/s
    read returns 23 sec --> 22260 kB/s
*** test using copies of tape_perf_test.exe in buffer:
    write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
    read returns 23 sec --> 22260 kB/s
*** test using 2:1 compressible data:
    write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
    read returns 23 sec --> 22260 kB/s
*** test using 3:1 compressible data:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 26 sec --> 19692 kB/s
*** test using 4:1 compressible data:
    write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
    read returns 25 sec --> 20480 kB/s
*** test using buffer full of zeros:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 24 sec --> 21333 kB/s
testing 64kB blocks:
*** test using random data:
    write returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
    read returns 32 sec --> 16000 kB/s
*** test using bigasm-like data:
    write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
    read returns 32 sec --> 16000 kB/s
*** test using copies of tape_perf_test.exe in buffer:
    write returns 8 sec --> 64000 kB/s
    read returns 32 sec --> 16000 kB/s
*** test using 2:1 compressible data:
    write returns 7 sec --> 73142 kB/s
    read returns 34 sec --> 15058 kB/s
*** test using 3:1 compressible data:
    write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
    read returns 34 sec --> 15058 kB/s
*** test using 4:1 compressible data:
    write returns 6 sec --> 85333 kB/s
    read returns 34 sec --> 15058 kB/s
*** test using buffer full of zeros:
    write returns 5 sec --> 102400 kB/s
    read returns 32 sec --> 16000 kB/s
.....

```

```

.....
testing 32kB blocks:
*** test using random data:
    write returns 10 sec --> 51200 kB/s
    read returns 57 sec --> 8982 kB/s
*** test using bigasm-like data:
    write returns 12 sec --> 42666 kB/s
    read returns 58 sec --> 8827 kB/s
*** test using copies of tape_perf_test.exe in buffer:
    write returns 13 sec --> 39384 kB/s
    read returns 58 sec --> 8827 kB/s
*** test using 2:1 compressible data:
    write returns 12 sec --> 42666 kB/s
    read returns 59 sec --> 8677 kB/s
*** test using 3:1 compressible data:
    write returns 10 sec --> 51200 kB/s
    read returns 59 sec --> 8677 kB/s
*** test using 4:1 compressible data:
    write returns 10 sec --> 51200 kB/s
    read returns 59 sec --> 8677 kB/s
*** test using buffer full of zeros:
    write returns 9 sec --> 56888 kB/s
    read returns 58 sec --> 8827 kB/s
testing 16kB blocks:
*** test using random data:
    write returns 18 sec --> 28444 kB/s
    read returns 112 sec --> 4571 kB/s
*** test using bigasm-like data:
    write returns 18 sec --> 28444 kB/s
    read returns 113 sec --> 4530 kB/s
*** test using copies of tape_perf_test.exe in buffer:
    write returns 18 sec --> 28444 kB/s
    read returns 121 sec --> 4231 kB/s
*** test using 2:1 compressible data:
    write returns 19 sec --> 26947 kB/s
    read returns 110 sec --> 4654 kB/s
*** test using 3:1 compressible data:
    write returns 18 sec --> 28444 kB/s
    read returns 113 sec --> 4530 kB/s
*** test using 4:1 compressible data:
    write returns 17 sec --> 30117 kB/s
    read returns 111 sec --> 4612 kB/s
*** test using buffer full of zeros:
    write returns 16 sec --> 32000 kB/s
    read returns 114 sec --> 4491 kB/s
.....

```

Die abschließende Ergebnistabelle sehen Sie auf der nächsten Seite im Querformat ...

Results:

xfer size	random		bigasm-like		executable		2:1		3:1		4:1		zeros	
	W	R	W	R	W	R	W	R	W	R	W	R	W	R
1024	056888	073142	073142	064000	102400	056888	056888	073142	085333	051200	102400	046545	128000	064000
0768	056888	064000	073142	064000	102400	056888	085333	073142	085333	051200	102400	046545	128000	064000
0512	056888	056888	073142	056888	102400	046545	085333	064000	085333	046545	085333	046545	102400	056888
0384	056888	051200	073142	051200	085333	042666	085333	056888	085333	039384	085333	039384	102400	051200
0256	056888	042666	073142	042666	102400	046545	102400	039384	128000	042666	128000	042666	170666	042666
0192	056888	034133	073142	036571	102400	039384	085333	036571	102400	036571	128000	036571	128000	036571
0128	056888	026947	073142	026947	102400	028444	085333	026947	073142	026947	102400	023272	128000	026947
0096	056888	022260	073142	022260	085333	022260	085333	022260	102400	019692	085333	020480	102400	021333
0064	056888	016000	085333	016000	064000	016000	073142	015058	085333	015058	085333	015058	102400	016000
0032	051200	008982	042666	008827	039384	008827	042666	008677	051200	008677	051200	008677	056888	008827
0016	028444	004571	028444	004530	028444	004231	026947	004654	028444	004530	030117	004612	032000	004491

Es bedeuten:

xfer size	Blockgröße [KB]
random	Zufalls-Datenmuster
bigasm-like	Wie bei der NetWorker bigasm Directive
executable	'Standard'-Programmdatei
#:1	Komprimierbare Datenmuster
zeros	Lauter '0'en